OLL 8 1 JUNS PADE

1FW 2171

(3 1 5007 8)				PTO/SB/21 (08-03)
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995	U.S. Pater no persons are required to respond to a collection	nt and Trademark Office:	: U.S. D	gh 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
& TRADEMAN	Application Number	09/881,505		
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	6/14/2001		
FORM	First Named Inventor	Bipul Binit Sinha et al.		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial	filing) Art Unit	2171		
	Examiner Name	LeRoux, Etienne Pierre		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	17 Attorney Docket Number	oracle01.016		
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)				
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Information Disclosure Statement Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)		ess Othe	eal Cor ppeals eal Cor eal Not prietary us Lette er Encle tify bel	osure(s) (please
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Remarks			
	TURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORN	EY, OR AGENT		
Firm or Gordon E. Nelson, Findividual name Signature Date 10/27/2005	Patent Attorney #30,093			
C	ERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION	N/MAILING		
I hereby certify that this correspondence is to sufficient postage as first class mail in an entitle date shown below.	peing facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or	r deposited with the U	Jnited :	States Postal Service with andria, VA 22313-1450 on
Typed or printed name Gordon E. Nelson				
Signature 9 Aug	en & Welcon		Date	10/27/2005

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentialty is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

(oracle01.016)

Applicant:

Bipul Binit Sinha et al.

Paper No.: 12

Application No:

09/881,505

Group Art Unit: 2171

Filed:

6/14/01

Examiner: LeRoux, Etienne Pierre

10

Title: Two-stage commit with queryable caches

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, DC 20231

Response to a non-final Office action under 37 C.F.R. 1.111

Status of the prosecution

An RCE was filed in this application on 5/16/2005. The RCE was filed after a telephonic interview in the application at 9:00 on Tuesday, May 10 and the Submission filed with the interview amended claim 11 as discussed in the interview, amended other claims as required to bring them into conformance with amended claim 11, and included the arguments made by Applicants' attorney at the interview.

25

30

35

Examiner mailed a non-final Office action on 7/28/2005 in which he objected to the Specification as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter and as containing embedded hyperlinks. Claims 3,4, 7-9, 24, 25, and 28-31 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph as being indefinite and were for that reason not subject to an art rejection. Claims 2,5,6,10-23, 26, and 27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 5,335,343, Lampson (hereinafter "Lampson").

Applicants are traversing the objection to the Specification as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter, are amending the Specification to render the hyperlinks inoperative, are amending claims 3,4,7-8,24, and 25 to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, are traversing the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 with regard to claims 9 and 30-31, and are traversing the rejection of claims 2,5,6,10-23, 26, and 27 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

Please amend the Specification as follows:

page 4, lines 19-30

5

10

20

A common approach to solving bottlenecks caused by databases is by *replicating* the data base. As the name implies, a replicated data base has a copy at another location. When a set of replicated databases is set up, methods are specified for keeping the replications consistent. A replicated database may be a complete copy of the database it is a replication of, or a partial copy. In the latter case, the partial copy is termed a *materialized view*. A materialized view may be *read only*, in which case, it is kept consistent with the database it is a copy of, but cannot be updated by the user, or it may be *updateable*, in which case the data items in the materialized view may be updated and the updates are propagated to the database of which the materialized view is a copy. A replicated database system provided by Oracle Corporation, of Redwood Shores, CA, USA, is described in detail in the document *Oracle &i Replication*, available in May, 2001 at http://technet.oracle.com/doc/server.815/

page 17, lines 6-10

FIG. 3 is a state diagram 301 for the states 303 entered by the coordinator and the states 305 entered by the cohorts during the two-phase commit protocol. The diagram is taken from a discussion of the two-phase commit protocol which could be found in May, 2001 at http://ei.cs.vt.edu/~cs5204/fall99/index.html